

**Construction Codes Coordinating Board
Transcript
August 21, 2025**

1 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:00:00.799 --> 00:00:03.879

It's good morning, good morning I'm sorry to I'm sorry to.

2 "Ed Kauffman - DC Fire" (1080432640)

00:00:03.994 --> 00:00:10.514

Interrupt. I do have a meeting with our fire chief that I'll have to step away for a few minutes at 11aM.

3 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:00:11.373 --> 00:00:24.533

Thank you. I'm thank you Ed. I'm hoping we can be done by eleven, but let's see how we do. I do have one item for you and I'll make sure that we I'll try to mention that well before you need to step away.

4 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:00:26.339 --> 00:00:40.859

Thank you. Let's get going. My name is Mark Fatterman. I'm the vice chair of the CCCB and the acting chair, and I'll call the meeting to order at 1034.

5 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:00:41.530 --> 00:00:48.610

On Thursday, 21 August 2025. Let's do a roll call.

6 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:01:31.789 --> 00:01:37.470

Mark Federman is present. Matt Borger.

7 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:01:38.017 --> 00:01:38.697

Okay.

8 "3143****08" (1485426432)

00:01:38.977 --> 00:01:39.057

Here.

9 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:01:40.181 --> 00:01:46.060

Thank you, Mike Brown present. Thank you, Joel Kozzie.

10 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:01:47.933 --> 00:01:48.093

So.

11 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:01:49.019 --> 00:01:57.779

Here. Thank you, Anthony Dale Ed Koffman is here.

12 "Ed Kauffman - DC Fire" (1080432640)

00:01:58.990 --> 00:01:59.030

Yeah.

13 "3143****08" (1485426432)

00:02:00.896 --> 00:02:01.295

Thank you.

14 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:02:01.293 --> 00:02:02.933

Good. Harrison miller.

15 "2024****00" (3230256896)

00:02:03.940 --> 00:02:05.980

Present. Thank you.

16 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:02:05.995 --> 00:02:14.634

Thank you. Gus Mayordad is mentioned to me that he will be absent. Keith Parson's.

17 "Keith David Parsons" (635964416)

00:02:15.970 --> 00:02:16.131

Right.

18 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:02:17.373 --> 00:02:26.333

Thank you Keith. Jeff Reese, guess not yet.

19 "Keith David Parsons" (635964416)

00:02:27.194 --> 00:02:29.754

Okay they say he didn't unmute.

20 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:02:30.671 --> 00:02:39.991

Oh, oh, got it, ok. I thought I was the only in in app person today. Thank you. I'm sharing this role. Casey Studholder?

21 "casey studhalter" (491766016)

00:02:42.957 --> 00:02:43.238

Yes.

22 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:02:43.711 --> 00:03:06.791

Present. Thank you, Casey. Jason Wright? Present. Thank you. So that's everybody. Jeff Fiono has joined us. Thank you Jeff. But as you're, as I'll mention now Jeff has moved out of Washington DC and has resigned from the.

23 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:03:07.060 --> 00:03:38.780

CCCB and he has recommended a fellow registered structural engineer. I'm sorry, Emily apple Bomb who is on our call today to be his replacement. And Mike Brown is working with the powers in the mayor's office to try and make that happen. So, thank you for attending Jeff and thank you for attending Emily.

24 "Jeff Viano" (2084966144)

00:03:40.460 --> 00:03:44.460

Sure, NO problem. Been a pleasure working with you all the last few years.

25 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:03:45.515 --> 00:04:05.995

Thank you. The agenda you have in front of you, and I, after you've reviewed it, I would appreciate receiving a motion and a second for its adoption.

26 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:04:10.538 --> 00:04:11.218

Make a motion.

27 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:04:12.537 --> 00:04:13.697

Is that Joel? Thank you.

28 "Jason Wright" (2920485376)

00:04:15.299 --> 00:04:17.659

This is Jason. I'll second it. Thank you.

29 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:04:18.950 --> 00:04:31.190

Oh, it's been moved and seconded or are there any objections to adopting the meeting agenda as presented? I hear none.

30 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:04:34.649 --> 00:04:54.409

So we'll continue with the review and adoption of the March 2025 regular meeting minutes, which were previously distributed to the board. And once I look for a, a motion and a second to adopt these minutes.

31 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:04:54.769 --> 00:04:55.889

Okay.

32 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:05:05.379 --> 00:05:08.058

Mark, you're looking for a motion to accept it again? I thought we just made.

33 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:05:09.580 --> 00:05:10.420

I'm looking for a motion.

34 "Harrison Miller" (2095512064)

00:05:13.101 --> 00:05:14.501

I'll put forward a motion to.

35 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:05:19.138 --> 00:05:19.817

Thank you, Joel.

36 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:05:21.859 --> 00:05:34.939

It's the adoption of the minutes have been moved and seconded, is there any objection? Hearing none day two are adopted. Thank you all. We have NO code changes.

37 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:05:35.609 --> 00:05:54.449

That are being introduced and under item three, we are we've received earlier this week a draft document courtesy of Mike Brown, which represents the work that Mike Brown and the.

38 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:05:56.408 --> 00:06:10.609

Office of the attorney general representatives have made in reviewing our draft documents. As I mentioned to you in when I transmitted them earlier this week, there are a number of changes to the.

39 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:06:12.709 --> 00:06:31.029

Building code, including chapter one, and there are changes to chapter ten of the mechanical code which is boilers, and I've also noted there are changes to the fire code and Ed.

40 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:06:34.439 --> 00:06:51.719

In the past at least the fire tag are the and the fire department have used your the fire department's lawyers to review these any changes. And since some of the changes being proposed are two chapter one.

41 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:06:51.999 --> 00:07:11.958

Which is the administrative part of the fire code. I bring this to your attention to make sure that your tag and fire department attorneys are comfortable with these changes. We're not voting, we're not voting on anything today. I just wanted to bring this.

42 "Ed Kauffman - DC Fire" (1080432640)

00:07:13.057 --> 00:07:15.137

No, I appreciate that. Thank you. And.

43 "Skip Keats | ANC-5D ZDC Co-Chair" (1759143680)

00:07:15.500 --> 00:07:16.579

If I could add on to.

44 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:07:16.620 --> 00:07:34.340

That mark. And the bulk of the changes are well all of the changes for fire were made by the attorney General's office and for them it was a matter of splitting what is the authorities within the building code versus the authorities within your fire codes.

45 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:07:34.849 --> 00:07:48.969

So I think there's, you know, once you share it with your attorneys, we could get together with Josh over at OAG and he can walk your attorneys through it fairly quickly. He did the same with our attorneys a week ago or so.

46 "Ed Kauffman - DC Fire" (1080432640)

00:07:51.180 --> 00:07:51.859

No, that's.

47 "3143****08" (1485426432)

00:07:51.921 --> 00:07:52.680

Sounds good. Thank you.

48 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:07:53.697 --> 00:08:12.937

Thank you, Mike and thank you, Ed. And Mike since you've been, you've been so helpful throughout this process, as I mentioned a couple minutes ago, I, and shared with the group and personally with Matt Borger when he and I spoke earlier, changes to.

49 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:08:13.069 --> 00:08:45.069

To the property maintenance code where items that had to do with tenant responsibilities for installing either smoke alarms or CO two detectors have been deleted. And there's NO authorship

for that, but I told Matt these were hard thought issues in the past with tenant groups and we simply, you know, can't allow these to be deleted without at least having a meeting with the of the tags so I.

50 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:08:45.499 --> 00:08:55.459

Asked Matt to convene a meeting and perhaps Mike you know why these sections were deleted. They've been in the code for two code cycles.

51 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:08:56.514 --> 00:09:12.035

Sure, thanks Mark. I would say that one, any of the changes you see have all originated from the office of the attorney general. And they took a very deep dive into the codes, which they've.

52 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:09:13.078 --> 00:09:32.039

Did more so this year than had any other time. I mean they literally worked on them for seven months and we went back and forth, I think there was 335 amendments we submitted to them and we had a back and forth that was a little over 4000 communications. The bulk of their issues were twofold.

53 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:09:32.519 --> 00:09:52.359

One, did the authority lie with the building code versus another code in the district, and their second issue was there is language a lot of its language changes, but they needed the language changes so that they could win arguments in superior court that some some case law in the.

54 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:09:52.479 --> 00:10:20.279

District has changed over time and they wanted to get us up to date. So I, you know, Matt I would suggest the same thing. I can set up a quick meeting with Josh and our attorney walk you through why they had to make, why they changes in the code for property maintenance were made, and I

think you'll follow along and see how that goes. So if you'd like that, you and I can set something up right after this meeting as well.

55 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:10:21.480 --> 00:10:27.361

Sure if they want to write the codes, they can get on the board and stuff and then we wouldn't have to deal with this whole process.

56 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:10:28.618 --> 00:10:30.498

Well, I mean that he said.

57 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:10:30.691 --> 00:10:44.450

About that. As Matt as I you might recall at the beginning of this code cycle, I suggested to our then chair that we have OAG attorneys at each of our meetings and that.

58 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:10:44.717 --> 00:10:46.197

Request I remember.

59 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:10:47.558 --> 00:11:13.238

So and Mike, we have drastically worked on these codes before. I think people like Jason remember the struggles we had in code cycles before you were involved, so this is not the what is unusual for this time is the number of new changes the codes have been drastically reviewed in the past.

60 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:11:14.034 --> 00:11:46.354

Yeah. Yeah Mark, can I comment to you? This is I agree, and Mark, I started diving into some of the of the changes, and I think Mike is correct and Mike, thank you for a wonderful job in sharing this along. I think a lot of the changes were, you know, certifications and certifications of stuff and separation of of authority, but you're right, this is an unusually large number of changes from what we've had in the last multiple quote cycles that I've been involved in. I think it's gonna take some time for us to review them all and we just certainly should have someone from our legal side to look at them.

61 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:11:46.595 --> 00:12:12.874

Yeah, and the thank you Joel. And the as Mike's aware unfortunately a number of changes are in chapter one of the building code and chapter ten of the mechanical code which has to do with boilers and unfortunately I'm the chair of each of those tags but I've the documents have been distributed to members of each of the tags.

62 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:12:13.379 --> 00:12:32.099

And, we've got people in each tag working through these issues. So I'm hoping to have some, we just got them late Monday and I don't have and and I've shared some preliminary thoughts with Mike, but I don't have anything.

63 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:12:34.458 --> 00:12:56.738

Specific as to mechanical or chapter one. I will say Mike, one of our wonderful, and Mike's aware of this, one of our wonderful mechanical tag members is a, was a DOB employee who had been in the private sector before as a registered mechanical.

64 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:12:57.149 --> 00:13:18.308

Here in the city, which I'm sure many of you may realize is a little unusual but he is he sadly has I learned left DOB yesterday but in talking with Alec about this Mike, he, I understand it.

65 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:13:18.479 --> 00:13:42.199

Is continuing to work with you on whether there is some way for future employment and I feel strongly knowing Alec that whatever the outcome he'll give us his appraisal of this. He did say that he had not seen the entire chapter ten with all the changes in one place and that this would take some time to go through and see if this made sense.

66 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:13:42.239 --> 00:13:42.479

That's.

67 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:13:43.581 --> 00:14:01.541

Yeah, and we let me talk briefly about chapter ten, the boiler section. The changes in the boiler section were essentially try, there was a number of amendments that we have had in the boiler section that are outside of the boiler code.

68 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:14:02.109 --> 00:14:22.069

And one section was about the authorities for the building code. We have NO authority over licensing of boiler technicians and installers that resides with the LCP, so all that language had to be stripped out. 2nd thing is there was, it was.

69 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:14:22.309 --> 00:14:42.669

Incongruent between what we, some of the amendments we had in chapter ten and the and the in the appendix that list, you know, I forgot the name of the Darn asked me thing for boilers suddenly. But anyway, the standard was.

70 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:14:43.428 --> 00:14:58.068

Has one thing and some of our amendments were different, but this entire chapter ten for boilers reflects on and pulls from that standard for boilers from talking to a number of people in the industry.

71 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:14:59.169 --> 00:15:18.969

That created some confusion for them in the past. Their the boiler people, their licensing requires them to follow that last me standard for boilers and we were putting putting them in a difficult spot. So we spent a lot of time especially the lawyers who brought it up to me quite honestly.

72 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:15:19.569 --> 00:15:45.769

Was that we had to get that squared away. It's also one of the items that is on my to do list for the next code cycle that we get close, that we get exactly with that standard because it is the licensing for the people and it's consistent then the other advantage is it's consistent throughout the DMV about how boilers are handled. And it does, you know, our boilers aren't any different here than in some of the other community.

73 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:15:45.973 --> 00:15:47.012

Things. Yeah.

74 "5712****59" (3733573376)

00:15:50.116 --> 00:15:50.435

Music?

75 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:15:53.699 --> 00:15:53.779

U.

76 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:15:54.437 --> 00:15:59.997

The whole United States uses this standard Michael, and the challenge is.

77 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:16:02.179 --> 00:16:20.139

DOB has a very strong willed group of people that have reviewed boilers in the past and the mechanical tag dealt with that as best we could and you know took I believe less than half of the.

78 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:16:20.419 --> 00:16:40.259

Changes that were recommended by the DOB staff, but I think we're all glad anything we can do to make this simpler is great. The challenge we had in the past Mike, and maybe you can help us with this, was that DOB because of the cost and the number of volumes did not own the standard, will you be able.

79 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:16:40.379 --> 00:16:41.218

Able to purchase it?

80 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:16:41.780 --> 00:16:46.140

I'm sure I can find the money somewhere after the billion dollars.

81 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:16:46.741 --> 00:17:12.260

It's it's many volumes and I believe it's close to \$10000. It was ridiculous. Maybe it was only 7000 but in the past that was the main reason that us we have been given as to why all this needs to be in

the code, but I'm not supporting it. I just think as eurologically saying DC should have a copy of this of the current standard.

82 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:17:12.770 --> 00:17:14.370

Yep, and we'll get that then.

83 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:17:15.212 --> 00:17:35.292

Thank you. If while I'm looking at this group of people, if I could remind those of us who are not CCCB members to, please enter your name and the organization you're representing in.

84 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:17:35.419 --> 00:17:47.899

In our chat, it's going to help us compile a better list of attendees. Sorry to put that in the middle here. Go Michael I interrupted you.

85 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:17:48.597 --> 00:18:25.517

I think that's good enough on that where we're at in the rulemaking process, it's in the IQ process sitting with the CA and the mayor. I was in, I'm in daily conversations with the mayor's office and the CA, they expect to release or give their certification letter, whatever they do releasing the changes that they've approved from OAG. It appears that they don't have any changes of their own, so I should have something official by from Friday afternoon there telling me.

86 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:18:27.295 --> 00:18:58.575

In the past Mike, what what the mayor's office has done is to a way to document where the OAG and CCCB are in agreement before we move forward. Cause as I shared with you this morning, one of our people who's reviewing some of these codes believes that there are substantial substantive

issues as in addition to the ones I brought up about the property maintenance code. I'm just not looking for the mayor's office to have to review these documents twice.

87 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:18:59.381 --> 00:19:18.582

Oh, they are in love with reviewing things twice, so. Okay. So that would be where we're at on that. So as soon as I get the final from the mayor's office, we'll distribute that to everybody on the list and then it'll be put on our website as well.

88 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:19:19.729 --> 00:19:28.369

The next, if you don't mind, I'll move to number two about where we're at in terms of the support staff. Is that ok.

89 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:19:28.495 --> 00:19:39.615

Hey Mark. Yeah, NO NO, this is the next several items are yours. And I realized we're gonna lose Ed Confident shortly or may have already lost him, but so.

90 "2027****86" (378130176)

00:19:40.318 --> 00:19:43.918

I'll be. I just joined the call. I just.

91 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:19:43.911 --> 00:19:45.431

So I'll let you know. Okay, thank you.

92 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:19:46.217 --> 00:20:02.257

So we'll go through, in terms of the CCB chair and support staff, as everybody is painfully aware here, there was some significant changes to the budgeting and we had to go through approval processes and getting exceptions given to us.

93 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:20:04.049 --> 00:20:23.689

We have a grant that's coming through Hcima via Fima. FEMA finally signed off with HCIMA in early this summer saying, hey, we're not gonna applaud this money back. So now HCMA notifies us that we can start trying to spend that money. Then we had to get an exception from.

94 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:20:24.128 --> 00:20:48.569

The ca's office for spending money that is actually a grant, so we got that this past week. So now we can submit a contract. We're gonna be hiring two people immediately once that contract is approved. The last time we pulled a contract it was approximately 60 days. The one person will be a full time person who will be either the.

95 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:20:48.739 --> 00:21:10.858

The chair or will be support staff for one of dlb's employees to be the chair, but they will be employed by us and somehow will be that support. 2nd thing to do is there'll be support for each of the tags so that the tags can be about the business of talking as experts and not having to track.

96 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:21:11.559 --> 00:21:31.159

Making schedules, making, you know, having the meetings taking the notes for the meeting and preparing things for the full CCB. So we'll have a person doing that exclusively, 40 h a week. 2nd person that we're hiring is somebody's more technical in nature, their prod, their pro their work will be looking.

97 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:21:31.399 --> 00:21:53.079

At the codes as they're coming through and starting develop what are the key items that we need to talk to the public about and educate them once the codes are approved. That will be an education part position and it will be somebody who's technical in nature, so it's not just Greek to them as they're looking at it.

98 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:21:53.729 --> 00:22:19.649

We also have 1000 h in that grant for attorneys, so one of the challenges for attorneys to work with the building code. One of the challenges we always have is getting in the queue for, time with our general council. This will allow us to not need to pull from general council's office, but we'll be able to pull directly from somebody whose purpose will be to be.

99 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:22:20.328 --> 00:22:38.488

Doing the code work for us. One of the things that, you know, I, well, one of the things that made it a little longer for OAG, when they were reviewing our stuff is that a lot of it's just writing it into lawyer language versus just a simple people who aren't lawyers.

100 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:22:39.449 --> 00:22:59.209

And, you know, so that was wordsmithing. We should be able to eliminate all that time by having our own lawyer looking at the words in advance and then having them well enough trained in the language that they can have productive conversations with OAG if there's a difference. So that's that group. I expect those people.

101 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:22:59.449 --> 00:23:22.329

To be on board somewhere in the month of October. And as soon as they're there, we'll get them introduced and they'll start running as quickly as possible. So that's on that one. In terms of mota, we sent them Moda Emily's name. They have we spoke with them yesterday.

102 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:23:23.229 --> 00:23:37.909

The last piece was verifying your address, Emily, so I don't know how they do that. You may have already heard from them, but they're verifying that once that verification was done, which they figured is done this week, it goes to the mayor's office for, appointment.

103 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:23:39.499 --> 00:23:58.059

I, they're not willing to say how long the timeline is, but, you know, based on the depleting numbers with, the CCP I think there's some support from our mayor's office to try and help push that through a bit. So.

104 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:23:59.309 --> 00:24:19.309

You know, I've asked the director Hamlin to work on that for us when, once the time comes. In terms of the swearing in, they're like, hey, there's still members. The swearing in is a small part of it. I've tried to explain to them that it is an important piece, that it does show this.

105 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:24:19.389 --> 00:24:33.189

Significance of the decision and that it's an important piece for motor. I, I don't run them, don't know anything else, so that's that's all I can add to that.

106 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:24:34.198 --> 00:24:40.278

Right but Mike, this is Mark, but they gotta swear Emily in so they could swear the rest of us in.

107 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:24:41.070 --> 00:24:41.150

If.

108 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:24:41.891 --> 00:24:43.731

Yeah, I mean.

109 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:24:44.899 --> 00:24:48.099

I hear you. I know Mark.

110 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:24:49.015 --> 00:24:49.375

Thank you.

111 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:24:49.770 --> 00:24:54.610

Sorry. Do we have to get reappoint Mark, did we ever figure out the reappointments yet?

112 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:24:56.634 --> 00:24:57.754

Matt you serve at the.

113 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:24:58.616 --> 00:25:12.335

Each well, well, NO, I disagree. It's in the DC register. Matt has a specific date, I think, which is different than ours, but Matt is appointed by the city council.

114 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:25:12.676 --> 00:25:15.476

And so that you haven't been.

115 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:25:15.762 --> 00:25:19.482

Part in, so you're, you know, you're in the same boat unli.

116 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:25:19.935 --> 00:25:20.975

I was worn in years.

117 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:25:21.980 --> 00:25:24.660

No, NO, you gotta be sworn in for your current term.

118 "Harrison Miller" (2095512064)

00:25:26.197 --> 00:25:28.477

Well. Does that mean we're we're.

119 "2027****86" (378130176)

00:25:28.693 --> 00:25:29.332

Technically not.

120 "Harrison Miller" (2095512064)

00:25:29.511 --> 00:25:30.551

I guess.

121 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:25:30.572 --> 00:25:31.731

Right now? No.

122 "2027****86" (378130176)

00:25:33.062 --> 00:25:42.822

I guess I have a question. This is Anthony. I while I heard from the mayor's office the other day, but I was never sworn in the 1st time, and so I don't know how that like I've never received I've.

123 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:25:43.579 --> 00:25:45.898

Then we we need to take away all of your voting.

124 "2027****86" (378130176)

00:25:46.995 --> 00:25:50.875

Oh, ok. I'm sure that would be happy.

125 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:25:53.510 --> 00:25:56.390

I've been twice, yeah. So you're gonna have one of my swearing in this mat.

126 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:25:57.396 --> 00:25:59.516

And oh gotcha. Mike.

127 "Harrison Miller" (2095512064)

00:25:59.957 --> 00:26:04.517

The so are you saying that the swearing in is purely ceremon.

128 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:26:06.714 --> 00:26:38.115

No, we're not technically members of the Well, we, we continue to serve until someone is appointed in our place. But to pick on a wonderful member, Joel Cause has been informed that even though he has served for a number of years on the CCCB, he will not be reappointed. This was after he was told six months ago that he would be reappointed. So it's all fluid Harrison.

129 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:26:38.757 --> 00:26:46.717

Yeah and the website says I am reappointed and I'm serving till, I don't know. It's, it's just it's all just convogulated.

130 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:26:48.503 --> 00:26:58.743

The bottom line is that y'all are legitimate members of the CCB and I think.

131 "Harrison Miller" (2095512064)

00:26:59.018 --> 00:27:00.818

No I'm not so sure we all.

132 "5712****59" (3733573376)

00:27:01.018 --> 00:27:01.258

Work.

133 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:27:03.240 --> 00:27:17.320

I mean, if we are Michael, then they would, you know, they could hold a video meeting like this in 5 min or they could attend this meeting and swear us in. Both of those things have happened in previous code cycles. Something's going on here.

134 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:27:20.897 --> 00:27:29.656

But that's all about my pay grade, so if you don't mind, I think we should continue on here because I really don't can't answer any of these.

135 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:27:30.532 --> 00:27:35.693

Well then who do we need, who do we need to start asking Michael, if DOB can't answer that question?

136 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:27:36.840 --> 00:27:39.001

It's motor. Yeah motor.

137 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:27:39.522 --> 00:27:52.841

Mark I I think I agree with Mike, I think we're this is the wrong form to ask those questions, and we all could be dissolved next week if Donna took the slides he wants to be rid of the government, so let's just keep moving, doing what we're doing, we're doing for a decade over a long time now and and hopefully everything will work inside.

138 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:27:52.921 --> 00:27:56.601

Well, well Joe luckily our president doesn't have the authority to give.

139 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:27:57.656 --> 00:27:58.136

Are you.

140 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:27:58.632 --> 00:27:59.471

Let's, let's move on.

141 "Emily Appelbaum" (223982336)

00:28:00.331 --> 00:28:09.810

Michael Michael, I appreciate your efforts to get me involved and I'm looking forward to working with everyone, and if you can use me as an excuse to make other things happen, habus.

142 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:28:11.335 --> 00:28:17.415

But I probably will have to seek Helen and Latrice on the motta. They're much more effective than I am.

143 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:28:19.671 --> 00:28:36.552

Thank you. Michael has spoken with us before about the challenges of the DC law that CCCB members are aware of that requires DC to be net zero as of 31 December 2026. So that's next item.

144 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:28:37.458 --> 00:29:02.499

Okay, so, the mayor attempted to have the net zero code pushed out the 2030 or 2032. It did not come through back from the council as approved, so the net zero code has to be adopted by 1231 2026 or the most recent appendix Z becomes the code for commercial bill.

145 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:29:03.361 --> 00:29:06.721

And and Michael have has appendix Z yet been used by anybody?

146 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:29:10.478 --> 00:29:26.799

Appendix C has not been used in the way that we it's intended to be used to meet the net zero code adoption. There are components of net zero that mean of appendix C that are used for any number of the projects, but as a standalone document.

147 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:29:27.149 --> 00:29:27.269

No.

148 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:29:28.820 --> 00:29:31.980

Thank you. That's what Casey was sharing with us also.

149 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:29:32.530 --> 00:30:02.651

So how do we, how do we get there Michael? So here's where we're at, we will we we DOB in concert now with my good friend Casey over at DOEE is working on a calendar to put together a process in which we have a net zero energy code by that 31 December 2026 deadline. There are two options that we're looking at and.

150 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:30:03.269 --> 00:30:22.789

We hope to have, NO, we will have direction coming from gob as to which direction we think that should be. Option one is we begin the next code cycle for only the energy code, and that is all we focus on so we can be diligent, quick, and get this thing done by 31 December 2026.

151 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:30:23.429 --> 00:30:52.948

Everybody on this board already knows that we have we CCB, the co development process in the district has never accomplished anything in less than several years. And we're looking at a very aggressive schedule that would have it done by 31 December 2026 2nd option, and this came surfaced from discussions with EOM and see the city administrator's office is what if we.

152 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:30:53.519 --> 00:31:11.279

Put together a schedule for doing all the codes and then getting some kind of legislation that would push the 31 December date to a year later. I don't know if that's gonna be the case, but I should know, well, we will know by next week.

153 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:31:11.939 --> 00:31:31.499

What direction we're gonna follow and we'll let people know. DOLB will be submitting for the following code cycle that we go to use the ICC 2024, energy code for both commercial and residential. The 2024, commercial code has appendices.

154 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:31:32.019 --> 00:31:42.739

That meet the requirement that would be added to have a net zero pathway for the new construction buildings. And that's, that's essentially what will be approved.

155 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:31:45.477 --> 00:31:45.997

Can I ask.

156 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:31:46.755 --> 00:31:47.395

Yeah sure Matt.

157 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:31:47.656 --> 00:31:57.656

Didn't catch the 1st part. Are you, are you introducing that for this code cycle as a change to the current codes or for the next code, you said you're.

158 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:31:58.876 --> 00:32:02.596

Yeah, for the next code cycle. Okay. The issue will be.

159 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:32:03.852 --> 00:32:06.892

But he's looking to start it. You're looking to start it very quickly.

160 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:32:07.751 --> 00:32:22.830

Yes. The issue will be is we'll be doing a couple things simultaneously. That's why we're proposing that we only focus on the energy code to try and keep it as simple as possible. Are we allowed to do that legally? I'm just curious. Yes.

161 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:32:23.971 --> 00:32:27.651

We are on a basis or do we have to do this on emergency.

162 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:32:28.454 --> 00:32:53.455

No, it'll be a regular basis. So what will happen is we go through this 2021 codes, well the 2025 code cycle based off of 2021 building codes is whenever that goes into effect, it goes into effect. This will be regular order for doing the 2024 energy code, and at that point it'll just be substituting what's in the 2025.

163 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:32:53.761 --> 00:33:00.361

Code I mean, theoretically, based off your time frame, you could have that adopted before we get this code to.

164 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:33:03.280 --> 00:33:04.240

Yeah, that's.

165 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:33:05.055 --> 00:33:06.215

So thanks for my night.

166 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:33:07.176 --> 00:33:12.776

Well, I know I'm I'm not trying to be a Debbie Dadder I mean I'm being realistic based off this so we could.

167 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:33:13.259 --> 00:33:33.659

I mean, then we would just pause the current energy thing that we're dealing with because we know that's gonna be the biggest holdup in this code anyways when we go to 2nd comment period. If you're gonna think you can get this done by 2026 I mean, wouldn't we just substitute that into this code cycle instead of starting a new code cycle? Can't you do it that way?

168 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:33:34.675 --> 00:33:39.994

We most certainly could do that and I'd certainly be interested in what other people think of that kind.

169 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:33:40.095 --> 00:33:59.055

Concept. I mean the other option Michael, and I'm not trying to I'm being realistic, if you guys have documentation of how hard this is to get, you know, a realistic code to meet the law, I don't want to speak for Harrison, but, I believe we would welcome you at our meetings with the city council to tell them of why the mayor's delay makes sense.

170 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:33:59.849 --> 00:34:24.048

To be adopted to get a code correct, because our, our concerns have fallen on deaf ears at the city council level it's been a been a very up, uphill battle to convince the council members that while good intentions, the reality on the ground is this is a very complicated issue that's gonna take time to get correct.

171 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:34:26.158 --> 00:34:26.198

Yeah.

172 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:34:27.480 --> 00:34:47.320

And since you all work for a mayor's agency, you know, that would put some, you know, teeth behind her recommendations I think if we could go tell the council, because if you could get this delayed, maybe not in the 2030 2028 would give you time to come up with something more, I think flushed out than something to now and next year, right?

173 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:34:48.857 --> 00:34:49.457

Well, I.

174 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:34:50.833 --> 00:35:05.833

One depends on how many amendments we make to the model code, Matt, because there's an appendix, there's an appendix in the 2024 code for electric. If we just adopt that appendix, you know, Mike, are we then done?

175 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:35:07.194 --> 00:35:26.675

Yes, so what what I, what we will do is we're purchasing PDF copy of the energy code for 2024 and what I will do once we are willing ready to make that proposal and we'll do it before we come to the CCB or starting that rulemaking process is put together.

176 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:35:27.479 --> 00:35:44.679

Here's the code, here's a copy of it for you. We believe that if we take this model code, which has been vetted for over three years by the ICC code development process, with these appendices that we would be compliant with what the.

177 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:35:46.059 --> 00:36:05.699

The city council legislation requires. I firmly believe that as much as we can keep it to the base code, one, we're more consistent within the DMP, two it's less confusing for our design professionals, three developers and.

178 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:36:06.099 --> 00:36:13.099

Property managers like yourself are playing by the same rules everywhere you show up. So that.

179 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:36:13.618 --> 00:36:30.699

Right, I think we'd all agree that the model code is superior than appendix Z that, I mean, I know there's a lot of effort on Appendix Z, but as you said, NO one's used it to the level that it was actually designed for. And I I would argue that the model code probably has been more vetted than our DC code.

180 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:36:30.733 --> 00:36:44.613

So our our challenged gentlemen, however, is that the city council law requires this not only for new construction but for substantial renovation. And Mike, you didn't mention that little tiny books.

181 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:36:46.139 --> 00:36:47.900

That's a tiny upseat.

182 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:36:47.971 --> 00:36:49.171

I'm trying to be.

183 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:36:49.298 --> 00:36:50.178

The humorous come on.

184 "5712****59" (3733573376)

00:36:50.161 --> 00:36:51.041

I got you Mark.

185 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:36:54.217 --> 00:37:04.378

So anyway, that's we'll be putting something together so that you have a host of things to look at so you understand where we're headed and we'll have a decision from you.

186 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:37:04.521 --> 00:37:08.001

You buy next before Labor Day it sounds like if you're doing it in the next week.

187 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:37:09.340 --> 00:37:14.540

Unless I'm writing a whole bunch of other stuff, my fingers have been on fire the last two weeks right now.

188 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:37:14.993 --> 00:37:17.473

Writing. No, I wouldn't say before labored.

189 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:37:17.611 --> 00:37:24.091

Day but I would say clearly at least a week or two weeks before the next CCB meeting.

190 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:37:26.260 --> 00:37:28.339

Okay, well that's the 18th of sep.

191 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:37:28.435 --> 00:37:29.674

September yep.

192 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:37:30.258 --> 00:37:33.538

So that's the, the end of the week of Labor Day.

193 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:37:33.953 --> 00:37:33.993

Yeah.

194 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:37:36.340 --> 00:37:39.700

Well, I mean come on we gotta We gotta get this done.

195 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:37:40.016 --> 00:37:42.936

I I can't agree with you more Mark.

196 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:37:43.510 --> 00:37:44.310

Okay, sorry.

197 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:37:44.555 --> 00:37:54.715

Thank you. No, I was just thinking that, you know, I'm gonna be hanging out, you know, that Thursday and Friday of that week at sesame place with grandkids. So andrew.

198 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:37:55.295 --> 00:38:20.975

So I I don't I'm not trying to blame this point, but I think it's important to understand this time frame and and and the plan that you're doing. So right now dob's plan is to recommend to the CCCB that we continue the current code cycle what we're doing, but you're gonna recommend that we start a new code cycle this fall only to look at net zero codes with the goal to have them done by the laws dictated date of 2020.

199 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:38:21.714 --> 00:38:22.434

Yes sir.

200 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:38:22.797 --> 00:38:46.678

And we're gonna keep the process, so if, if we release these codes to the public for second notice proposed rule making in the current form and Casey's tag gets 500000 comments on the energy code and he works through it, you're still doing a separate process with a separate base code for net zero that theoretically could just.

201 "5712****59" (3733573376)

00:38:48.298 --> 00:38:49.698

But what's the temperature outside?

202 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:38:53.472 --> 00:38:53.672

Yeah.

203 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:38:55.258 --> 00:39:05.538

And the reason I'm asking is I don't want I mean I know cause Casey's gonna get all these comments. I don't want him to spend all this time and effort if whatever he does is gonna be superseded by what you're doing mike. So I'm just trying to understand the process.

204 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:39:06.933 --> 00:39:26.173

So the process would be is we would continue the 2021 or the process for the 2025 code based off of the 2021 model codes. We would start a second code cycle, which would have a different tag because it's a new code cycle, so it would not be the same people on the codes.

205 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:39:28.374 --> 00:39:35.695

But but it would be chaired by Casey as our DOEE, energy representative.

206 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:39:36.918 --> 00:39:48.797

Casey's got lots of time on his hand. Listen, we're not ready to discuss all the details, but that is at a 30000 what we're trying to do.

207 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:39:49.312 --> 00:40:08.633

Okay, and I'm not trying to I'm just trying to understand why one final clarifying question. So the this code that you're you're proposing that you're gonna start this new code cycle, will that replace the current commercial residential energy code or is this gonna be a new chapter that's gonna be totally net zero separate.

208 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:40:08.732 --> 00:40:11.412

Part of that. No, it would be a full new code.

209 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:40:12.514 --> 00:40:13.434

It'll replace it.

210 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:40:15.410 --> 00:40:18.690

It'll replace what will be in the 2025 code. Yes.

211 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:40:19.516 --> 00:40:25.516

That's the only logical way to do this. Let's move on. I just I feel like the.

212 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:40:25.679 --> 00:40:37.479

I mean again I just don't want people to waste their time. I almost feel like it's you're doing like a legislative, you strike all of what Casey's done and insert your new proposed code for 2nd proposed rolement.

213 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:40:38.601 --> 00:40:42.121

Matt, let's let this get started and then I think some of this is gonna become.

214 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:40:42.901 --> 00:40:43.102

Okay.

215 "5712****59" (3733573376)

00:40:44.356 --> 00:40:45.075

Okay.

216 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:40:45.375 --> 00:40:49.255

Thank you. Mike, how about the washing gas lawsuit Speaking of.

217 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:40:49.537 --> 00:40:58.816

Energy. I have NO update on that and I don't Casey may, it's not something that we're actively involved.

218 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:40:59.620 --> 00:41:07.261

Because if they were successful, that would overwrite the law that we're trying to meet, right?

219 "casey studhalter" (491766016)

00:41:08.331 --> 00:41:22.810

I can't speak to any details. I certainly have not been intimately involved, our attorneys with the office of the attorney General are handling that case that, has not closed written arguments, so there's there's not been a decision made.

220 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:41:24.622 --> 00:41:27.782

But Casey may they have this successful other jurisdictions, correct?

221 "casey studhalter" (491766016)

00:41:29.901 --> 00:41:33.780

So which who's who's.

222 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:41:33.861 --> 00:41:36.781

Today. This is Washington gas.

223 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:41:36.819 --> 00:41:44.459

Or guests. Yeah, they want in California. Right. There's a huge precedent that they're gonna win this case.

224 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:41:45.379 --> 00:41:46.220

Correct there's some.

225 "Casey Studhalter" (491766016)

00:41:46.410 --> 00:41:56.491

Interesting precedents happening in New York City and New York State just recently contrary to the Berkeley ruling, so Berkeley's also.

226 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:41:57.696 --> 00:42:02.697

One in California, and they know there's Washington State's got one that's looks like it might go the other.

227 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:42:03.421 --> 00:42:13.420

The important thing is that they're still accepting information it sounds like from Casey. Thank you, Casey. Yeah.

228 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:42:14.255 --> 00:42:14.935

It's well.

229 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:42:16.915 --> 00:42:18.435

I put an update on these three bills.

230 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:42:19.313 --> 00:42:43.792

Sure. The bird friendly building facades is in rule making. It is sitting in the IQ process and when it's released we'll make whatever changes come out of that and then DOB will release those rule makings as required by that law. Insurance for adjacent property.

231 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:42:44.010 --> 00:43:04.409

Is that's expired, it also never got funded, so that insurance for adjacent properties is going to come back through the legislative process. So we'll see where that goes. The harmonious living amendment has not been funded so it is sitting where it's sitting.

232 "Jason Wright" (2920485376)

00:43:05.639 --> 00:43:18.639

Mike, this is Jason. Can you share the proposed amendment for the bird friendly language that's being proposed with with this group? I think a couple months ago it was said it was gonna be shared, but it never saw it.

233 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:43:18.719 --> 00:43:21.518

Yeah I agree with.

234 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:43:21.559 --> 00:43:25.238

It's like easier to get the file for this to get that amendment.

235 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:43:27.257 --> 00:43:53.497

Hey thanks. We would like to see it though. Yeah, I'll grab what we sent over to DC VIA and Aeopa because they had lots of comments and we've made changes based off of their feedback. So I will get our legislative affairs people to produce it for us. Thank you. And that wraps up. That's a yes, you will distribute that to the board.

236 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:43:54.011 --> 00:43:59.491

Yeah, I walked down to the legislative affairs right after this and asked him for the copy of that.

237 "Jason Wright" (2920485376)

00:44:00.629 --> 00:44:01.669

Thank you're making.

238 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:44:02.658 --> 00:44:28.538

Thank you. Thank you Mike. Moving quickly through the other items, the financial disclosure statement, I'll ask Matt for some comments, but a couple of us in the private sector filled ours out before we understand that the requirement was put on pause for a while. And Matt, do you have any update as to the current status of this?

239 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:44:32.496 --> 00:44:41.055

The only update I have is what I heard at the council meeting that it's been paused through the end of the year pending review of the council.

240 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:44:41.895 --> 00:44:42.255

I don't.

241 "Matt Borger" (220242432)

00:44:42.699 --> 00:44:49.018

Think they've taken it back up as a discussion item as it sounds like they've been focused on the budget and the commander stadium.

242 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:44:49.232 --> 00:45:14.113

Okay, thank you. Just real quickly every all of us private sector members are required to take an hour of ethics training every year. This, a simple way to do this is through a bega ethics training class. The final one is 29 October this year if any private sector members still need to fulfill that annual obligation.

243 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:45:16.599 --> 00:45:29.559

One one quick item I'll distribute many of you on the board recall are, there were a couple months in there when Chris Bailey was our chair.

244 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:45:30.030 --> 00:45:47.950

And he took a document that had been approved by the CCCB and amplified it regarding the responsibilities of tags at the, I believe this was done at the request of one or more members who hadn't previously served on tags. I've.

245 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:45:48.709 --> 00:46:08.310

Found that five page document and I've reduced it to two and a half pages and I'll share it with the board. Mike Brown has seen a most recent version of this. It's nothing that is different than what we're already doing as.

246 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:46:08.670 --> 00:46:40.830

Tags I would say proudly, but it does reduce what we are doing to writing. So I'll I'll distribute that after the meeting and look forward to getting comments from any CCCB members on this although I won't be disappointed if I don't hear from you. Our next meeting is 18 September 2025, our regular meeting and I open this now to comments 1st by any board members.

247 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:46:42.433 --> 00:47:00.314

Hey, this is Joel. I just want to reiterate again that we really appreciate all the hard work michael Brown has done on getting this legislation as far as he's done it or this code cycle as far as he's gotten through and Mark to you as well for your decades of service to the to the CCCB. I appreciate everybody being here.

248 "Jason Wright" (2920485376)

00:47:00.660 --> 00:47:01.980

I second that.

249 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:47:03.737 --> 00:47:16.577

Thank, thank you all. And I know Mike has worked on this tirelessly because I've been in touch with him too many times about these issues.

250 "Harrison Miller" (2095512064)

00:47:20.415 --> 00:47:22.495

The help that you've provided and.

251 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:47:22.481 --> 00:47:32.761

And, you know, I just, you can't retire till 2026 because we have to have a 40 year contribution party on in your honor. So you can try.

252 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:47:34.056 --> 00:47:36.577

So like can I leave on 1 January then?

253 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:47:37.276 --> 00:47:40.475

No, well that's up to you as long as like we can say it's 40.

254 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:47:40.693 --> 00:47:42.613

Years, but they don't get to go any year.

255 "Harrison Miller" (2095512064)

00:47:47.340 --> 00:47:54.100

You mentioned the next scheduled meeting is the 18th. Are there plans for an unscheduled meeting potentially or.

256 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:47:55.833 --> 00:48:22.073

What what I need to do, that's a great question Harrison, what I need to do is to I've been in preliminary touch with the two tags that have the majority of the comments. I'm hoping as Mike suggested that they will not be ones that are of great concern, but I need to get the opinion of the tags on these changes to our documents.

257 "Harrison Miller" (2095512064)

00:48:22.959 --> 00:48:23.159

Nope.

258 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:48:23.336 --> 00:48:40.776

Okay. So I don't the mike, and because with school starting and sort of the next week sort of being the week before labor day, I'm not optimistic, but if there's business that we can accomplish I would certainly suggest a meeting.

259 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:48:41.630 --> 00:48:58.670

Yeah, I don't believe Mark, that we will have work that needs requires a second an interim meeting in September. We may need an interim meeting in October, but we're certainly not gonna need one in September based on the timing when things are coming through.

260 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:48:59.654 --> 00:49:08.015

Thank you Mike. Any other comments from board members? Hearing not, I'll open this up to non board members if there are any comments.

261 "7034****35" (3549024000)

00:49:11.895 --> 00:49:13.776

Hey Mark, this is Rob Hawkins.

262 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:49:14.732 --> 00:49:21.332

Oh hi, I please go ahead.

263 "7034****35" (3549024000)

00:49:22.338 --> 00:49:57.578

So, ok, thank you. Thank you. You mentioned the, the tag procedures. I raised the concern when Chris Bailey had 1st proposed the changes. The concern was that, what he had attempted to do was sort of push the authority of the board to to the tags. Essentially the tags would be making decisions and the board would be rubber stamping those. And so you know I would I would love to see what this new two and a half page version does.

264 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:49:58.552 --> 00:50:21.913

Thank you. I will certainly share it public. I would appreciate it. Yeah, NO, thank you. I'll certainly share it with you. I as I've tried to suggest perhaps too quickly, my goal is in basically repeating what we are doing today. The Tags are.

265 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:50:22.800 --> 00:50:37.199

By the mayor's order and the states from which the people who dreamed up the CCCB got this idea. The tags are supposed to be composed of subject matter experts in the individual.

266 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:50:38.609 --> 00:50:56.810

Codes that they oversee. And the original thought was that a tag chair would, it is a, has to be a CCCB member and that this person then would be someone that has, you know, a greater than casual knowledge.

267 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:50:57.420 --> 00:51:17.220

Of those tags. So the the tags do have responsibility and the CCCB has responsibility that what I did was to go back sort of the to the pre Chris Bailey document that this board approved in September of 2020 and I edited that.

268 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:51:17.420 --> 00:51:33.179

That, but as I said, as soon as I can if seeing if I get any comments from the board members, I'll certainly distribute that to everybody. My s my goal is that there are NO changes in here. We're simply trying to record what we're already doing.

269 "7034****35" (3549024000)

00:51:34.134 --> 00:51:40.294

Yeah sorry.

270 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:51:43.121 --> 00:52:09.561

I just want to say that I I know I understand that what the perception was what Chris Belly was trying to present, but I think that even I commented back then and we and that and the CCCB all agreed at the time that regardless of what a potential model would have been coming from the tag, it always stated that the CCCB is the voting authority and that anything's coming from tag from a tag is simply a recommendation to be weighed by the CCCB and we vote for our own knowledge and.

271 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:52:10.599 --> 00:52:30.159

Right, but our I agree Joel and thank you for that. Our challenge though is that the subject matter experts are at the tag level. So, one of our challenges as board members is that some of us don't actively use the codes on a regular basis.

272 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:52:31.370 --> 00:52:50.770

But that's an ongoing question about, you know, who should be on the CCCB? Our challenge at the moment is the the mayor's office requires boards to be composed of 50 % at least 50 %.

273 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:52:50.830 --> 00:53:10.150

Percent of DC residents and the way they have dealt with some boards including the CCCB, they do not enforce this for government employees and put a total responsibility on all private sector people to be DC residents.

274 "7034****35" (3549024000)

00:53:12.458 --> 00:53:33.258

Yeah, and I think I think that was, that was my primary objection a couple of years ago with Chris Bailey's proposal was that the tags are not slowly comprised of you know swearing members of the board. They're, they're also populated by you know private industry folks, some of which may have their own agendas.

275 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:53:33.516 --> 00:53:35.156

So if a certain tag.

276 "7034****35" (3549024000)

00:53:35.332 --> 00:53:49.452

Is to be sort of stacked if you will, which people the bias, then the recommendations, the advice coming from the tag, you know, would be similarly biased. So that that was my objection, but if you're gonna make it public, I would all take a look.

277 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:53:50.233 --> 00:54:09.794

Thank you. You're absolutely right. And I know one of our boards, if I could be so bold, was the one that Matt chaired in property maintenance and Matt tried very hard to have a balance between DC government employees and private sector employees so that.

278 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:54:10.600 --> 00:54:28.159

All sides could be heard. And I will say that when I chaired the property maintenance, you know, we tried to get the similar mix so that we could address things at the tag level and not end up with issues that needed to go to the city council.

279 "7034****35" (3549024000)

00:54:30.781 --> 00:54:35.861

And then re related to that and I and I think I'm just underscoring what I heard Matt say. I think it was Matt.

280 "7034****35" (3549024000)

00:54:36.310 --> 00:54:55.110

About, you know, the the oags seven month review, you know, fine, fine if the oag's seven month review is sort of purely procedural and legal and regulatory, you know, so be it. But if they step into areas of of policy formation through that review, I think that becomes.

281 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:54:56.252 --> 00:55:10.852

Well, well, thank you. They they have some suggestions have been made and tags are looking at those issues now. Any other comments? Sorry, go ahead.

282 "7034****35" (3549024000)

00:55:11.495 --> 00:55:30.055

If if they're making policy recommendations through their legal review, that seems an inappropriate outlet. They should be, you know, they should be commenting through the public comment period process, right? Like it's not their role to be making policy recommendations through a legal review. That's, that's the board's job. Thank you.

283 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:55:32.000 --> 00:55:36.001

Any other comments from the private from the private sector?

284 "7034****35" (3549024000)

00:55:38.081 --> 00:55:38.881

For here baby.

285 "Marc Fetterman" (446203648)

00:55:40.636 --> 00:55:54.195

I don't hear any, and so I'll apologize to the group for a 1 h meeting. It's 1029 and will adjourn the meeting. Thank you all for your participation today.

286 "Joel Causey" (1332707328)

00:55:55.719 --> 00:55:56.999

Thank you Mark, thank you mik.

287 "Keith David Parsons" (635964416)

00:55:57.780 --> 00:55:58.420

Thanks everyone.

288 "2024****00" (3230256896)

00:55:58.440 --> 00:55:59.000

Hey buddy.

289 "Michael Brown" (2926178304)

00:56:00.096 --> 00:56:00.456

Thank you.

290 "2022****31" (3968454400)

00:56:04.720 --> 00:56:05.840

Okay.

291 "Helen Hooks Scott" (2082351360)

00:56:08.200 --> 00:56:10.801

Correction on the time, 1129.