

BOARD OF ETHICS AND GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY  
OFFICE OF OPEN GOVERNMENT



October 3, 2017

**VIA REGULAR MAIL**

Mr. [REDACTED] Sindram  
[REDACTED] Avenue,  
Washington, DC 20012

**RE: #OOG-0009\_\_9.30.17 Resolution of Complaint**

Dear Mr. Sindram:

The Office of Open Government (OOG), pursuant to the authority set forth in § 503(a)(4) of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, effective March 31, 2011 (D.C. Law 18-350; D.C. Official Code § 2-593 *et seq.* (2017)), and 3 DCMR § 10400 *et seq.* (2017), has reviewed your complaint, assigned file number OOG\_0009\_9.30.17, in which you alleged the following: (1) Maurice Smith, a PSC employee has failed to credit payments sent via certified check to your Verizon account from March 2017 to September 2017, and his actions are increasing the amount you owe Verizon; (2) Mr. Smith refuses to grant you a hearing until payment is received while he continues to intercept your Verizon payments; (3) James E. Brown, III, a former PSC employee has conspired with Maurice Smith to extort money from you; (4) Noel S. Antonio has taken adverse action against you related to the Pepco and Exelon merger and your failure to endorse Mr. Smith and several PSC employees that your complaint names; and (5) Noel Antonio has conspired with others to extort addition payments to Pepco Exelon.

The enforcement authority of the OOG extends only to violations committed by District of Columbia public bodies under the Open Meetings Act (D.C. Official Code § 2-571 *et seq.*) The OOG does not have jurisdiction over the alleged violations you raise. Therefore, your complaint is closed.

Sincerely,

TRACI L. HUGHES, ESQ.  
Director, Office of Open Government  
Board of Ethics and Government Accountability